

I.A.Devyatov, A.V. Burmistrova

Theory of a normal and Josephson current in structures with pnictides

Lomonosov MSU SINP

In collaboration with

1. Prof. Yukio Tanaka, Nagoya

2. Dr. Keiji Yada, Nagoya

3. Dr. Alexander A. Golubov, Twente







1. SIN junctions



2. Josephson junctions

$$\Delta e^{i\phi_L}$$
 $\Delta e^{i\phi_R}$

3. Break junctions



The planning of crucial experiment for determination of the order parameter symmetry in iron pnictides.



I.I. Mazin, D.J. Singh M. Johannes et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 057003 (2008)A. Moreo, M. Daghofer et al., Phys. Rev. B79,134502 (2009).

All previous calculations are phenomenological

The main problem: we need to coherently match wave functions between normal metal and superconducting pnictides



This problem have been discussed from 60-s last century and is still unsolved in general case even for normal non superconducting metals

W.A. Harrison, Phys.Rev, 123, 85 (1961)
B.J.BenDaniel, C.B. Duke, Phys.Rev, 152, 683 (1966)
B. Laikhtman, PRB 46, 4769 (1992)
M. Rontani, L.J. Sham, PRL 94, 186404 (2005)

The main problem of previous attempts

is using the effective mass approximation.

$$E\Phi_0 = -\mu_N \Phi_0 + t' \Phi_{-1} + t' \Phi_1, \qquad \psi'_1 = (\Psi_1 - \Psi_0)/l, \quad \psi'_2 = (\Phi_1 - \Phi_0)/l,$$
$$E\Phi_0 = -\mu_N \Phi_0 + t' \Phi_{-1} + \gamma \Psi_1.$$



Q.-G. Zhu and H. Kroemer, Phys. Rev. B 27, 3519 (1983)

Herbert Kroemer



1. SIN junctions

<u>Tight-binding model for the contact between s-type</u> <u>normal metal and pnictides: interface is parallel to</u>



Boundary conditions for zero misorientation angle

$$\begin{cases} t'_{1}\Phi_{1} = \gamma_{1}\Psi_{1}^{\alpha} + \gamma_{2}\Psi_{1}^{\beta}, \\ t'_{1}\bar{\Phi}_{1} = \gamma_{1}\bar{\Psi}_{1}^{\alpha} + \gamma_{2}, \bar{\Psi}_{1}^{\beta}, \\ \gamma_{1}\Phi_{0} = (t_{1} + 2t_{3}\cos k_{y})\Psi_{0}^{\alpha} + 2it_{4}\sin k_{y}\Psi_{0}^{\beta} \\ + 2\Delta_{0}\zeta(k_{y})\bar{\Psi}_{0}^{\alpha}, \\ \gamma_{1}\bar{\Phi}_{0} = (t_{1} + 2t_{3}\cos k_{y})\bar{\Psi}_{0}^{\alpha} + 2it_{4}\sin k_{y}\bar{\Psi}_{0}^{\beta} \\ - 2\Delta_{0}\zeta(k_{y})\Psi_{0}^{\alpha}, \\ \gamma_{2}\Phi_{0} = (t_{2} + 2t_{3}\cos k_{y})\Psi_{0}^{\beta} + 2it_{4}\sin k_{y}\Psi_{0}^{\alpha} \\ + 2\Delta_{0}\zeta(k_{y})\bar{\Psi}_{0}^{\beta}, \\ \gamma_{2}\bar{\Phi}_{0} = (t_{2} + 2t_{3}\cos k_{y})\bar{\Psi}_{0}^{\beta} + 2it_{4}\sin k_{y}\bar{\Psi}_{0}^{\alpha} \\ - 2\Delta_{0}\zeta(k_{y})\bar{\Psi}_{0}^{\beta}, \end{cases}$$

 t'_1, t'_2 t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 - hopping amplitudes

 $\gamma_1 \gamma_2$ -hopping amplitudes across the interface

Angle averaged conductance for different size of normal metal Fermi surface



A. V. Burmistrova, I. A.D., A. A. Golubov, K. Yada, Y. Tanaka, JPSJ, 82, 034716 (2013).

SIN, Non-zero misorientation angle



Nonzero misorientation angle. Boundary conditions.

$$\begin{split} t_1' \Phi_1(e^{ik_y l} + e^{-ik_y l}) + t_2' \Phi_2 &= \Psi_1^{\alpha}(\gamma_1 e^{ik_y l} + \gamma_2 e^{-ik_y l} \\ &+ \Psi_1^{\beta}(\gamma_1 e^{-ik_y l} + \gamma_2 e^{ik_y l}) + \gamma_1' \Psi_2^{\alpha} + \gamma_2' \Psi_2^{\beta}, \\ t_1' \bar{\Phi}_1(e^{ik_y l} + e^{-ik_y l}) + t_2' \bar{\Phi}_2 &= \bar{\Psi}_1^{\alpha}(\gamma_1 e^{ik_y l} + \gamma_2 e^{-ik_y l} \\ &+ \bar{\Psi}_1^{\beta}(\gamma_1 e^{-ik_y l} + \gamma_2 e^{-ik_y l}) + \gamma_1' \bar{\Psi}_2^{\alpha} + \gamma_2' \bar{\Psi}_2^{\beta}, \\ \Phi_0(\gamma_1 e^{ik_y l} + \gamma_2 e^{-ik_y l}) + \gamma_1' \Phi_{-1} &= t_1 \Psi_0^{\alpha} e^{ik_y l} \\ &+ t_2 \Psi_0^{\alpha} e^{-ik_y l} + t_3 \Psi_{-1}^{\alpha} - t_4 \Psi_{-1}^{\beta} + \Delta_0 \bar{\Psi}_{-1}^{\alpha}, \\ \bar{\Phi}_0(\gamma_1 e^{-ik_y l} + \gamma_2 e^{-ik_y l}) + \gamma_1' \bar{\Phi}_{-1} &= t_1 \bar{\Psi}_0^{\alpha} e^{ik_y l} \\ &+ t_2 \bar{\Psi}_0^{\alpha} e^{-ik_y l} + t_3 \bar{\Psi}_{-1}^{\alpha} - t_4 \bar{\Psi}_{-1}^{\beta} - \Delta_0 \Psi_{-1}^{\alpha}, \\ \Phi_0(\gamma_1 e^{-ik_y l} + \gamma_2 e^{ik_y l}) + \gamma_2' \Phi_{-1} &= t_1 \Psi_0^{\beta} e^{ik_y l} \\ &+ t_2 \Psi_0^{\beta} e^{-ik_y l} + t_3 \bar{\Psi}_{-1}^{\beta} - t_4 \Psi_{-1}^{\alpha} + \Delta_0 \bar{\Psi}_{-1}^{\beta}, \\ \bar{\Phi}_0(\gamma_1 e^{-ik_y l} + \gamma_2 e^{ik_y l}) + \gamma_2' \bar{\Phi}_{-1} &= t_1 \bar{\Psi}_0^{\beta} e^{ik_y l} \\ &+ t_2 \bar{\Psi}_0^{\beta} e^{-ik_y l} + t_3 \bar{\Psi}_{-1}^{\beta} - t_4 \bar{\Psi}_{-1}^{\alpha} - \Delta_0 \Psi_{-1}^{\beta}, \\ \bar{\Psi}_0(\gamma_1 e^{-ik_y l} + \gamma_2 e^{ik_y l}) + \gamma_2' \bar{\Phi}_{-1} &= t_1 \bar{\Psi}_0^{\beta} e^{ik_y l} \\ &+ t_2 \bar{\Psi}_0^{\beta} e^{-ik_y l} + t_3 \bar{\Psi}_{-1}^{\beta} - t_4 \bar{\Psi}_{-1}^{\alpha} - \Delta_0 \Psi_{-1}^{\beta}, \\ \gamma_1' \Phi_0 &= t_3 \Psi_0^{\alpha} - t_4 \Psi_0^{\beta} + \Delta_0 \bar{\Psi}_0^{\alpha}, \\ \gamma_2' \Phi_0 &= t_3 \Psi_0^{\beta} - t_4 \bar{\Psi}_0^{\alpha} - \Delta_0 \Psi_0^{\beta}, \\ \gamma_2' \bar{\Phi}_0 &= t_3 \bar{\Psi}_0^{\beta} - t_4 \bar{\Psi}_0^{\alpha} - \Delta_0 \Psi_0^{\beta}, \\ t_2' \Phi_1 &= \gamma_1' \Psi_1^{\alpha} + \gamma_2' \Psi_1^{\beta}. \end{split}$$

The conductivities of the Sp-I-N junction for the $s_{\pm} s_{\pm} s_{\pm} models$ for nonzero misorientation angle



A.V. Burmistrova, I.A. Devyatov, JETPh Letters, **96**, 391 (2012).
A. V. Burmistrova, I. A.D., A. A. Golubov, K. Yada, Y. Tanaka, JPSJ, **82**, 034716 (2013).

Conclusion for SIN part.

In order to distinguish s++ and s+- models in pnictide one should use SIN contacts with zero misorientation angle and large Fermi surface of normal metal.

2. Josephson Junction



Order parameter change sign in real space

d-l-d

D. A. Wollman, et al., Phys. Rev. B 27, 3519 (1983)

Pnictide –order parameter change sign in k-space!



Josephson current in S/I/S_p structure in x-y plane.

 $\Delta = 4\Delta_p \cos k_x \cos k_y$

Green's functions

$$\begin{split} G_{\{n\},\{j\}}(\tau_{1},\tau_{2}) &= \begin{pmatrix} G_{\{n\},\{j\}}^{\alpha}(\tau_{1},\tau_{2}) & G_{\{n\},\{j\}}^{\alpha\beta}(\tau_{1},\tau_{2}) \\ G_{\{n\},\{j\}}^{\beta\alpha}(\tau_{1},\tau_{2}) & G_{\{n\},\{j\}}^{\beta}(\tau_{1},\tau_{2}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\langle T_{\tau}c_{\uparrow}(\{n\},\tau_{1})c_{\uparrow}^{+}(\{j\},\tau_{2})\rangle & -\langle T_{\tau}c_{\uparrow}(\{n\},\tau_{1})d_{\uparrow}^{+}(\{j\},\tau_{2})\rangle \\ -\langle T_{\tau}d_{\uparrow}(\{n\},\tau_{1})c_{\uparrow}^{+}(\{j\},\tau_{2})\rangle & -\langle T_{\tau}d_{\uparrow}(\{n\},\tau_{1})d_{\uparrow}^{+}(\{j\},\tau_{2})\rangle \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \\ F_{\{n\},\{j\}}(\tau_{1},\tau_{2}) &= \begin{pmatrix} F_{\{n\},\{j\}}^{\alpha}(\tau_{1},\tau_{2}) & F_{\{n\},\{j\}}^{\beta\alpha}(\tau_{1},\tau_{2}) \\ F_{\{n\},\{j\}}^{\beta\alpha}(\tau_{1},\tau_{2}) & F_{\{n\},\{j\}}^{\beta\alpha}(\tau_{1},\tau_{2}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\langle T_{\tau}c_{\downarrow}^{+}(\{n\},\tau_{1})c_{\uparrow}^{+}(\{j\},\tau_{2})\rangle & -\langle T_{\tau}c_{\downarrow}^{+}(\{n\},\tau_{1})d_{\uparrow}^{+}(\{j\},\tau_{2})\rangle \\ -\langle T_{\tau}d_{\downarrow}^{+}(\{n\},\tau_{1})c_{\uparrow}^{+}(\{j\},\tau_{2})\rangle & -\langle T_{\tau}c_{\downarrow}^{+}(\{n\},\tau_{1})d_{\uparrow}^{+}(\{j\},\tau_{2})\rangle \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \\ \tilde{G}_{\{n\},\{j\}}(\tau_{1},\tau_{2}) &= \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{G}_{\{n\},\{j\}}^{\alpha}(\tau_{1},\tau_{2}) & \tilde{G}_{\{n\},\{j\}}^{\alpha\beta}(\tau_{1},\tau_{2}) \\ \tilde{G}_{\{n\},\{j\}}^{\beta\alpha}(\tau_{1},\tau_{2}) & \tilde{G}_{\{n\},\{j\}}^{\beta\beta}(\tau_{1},\tau_{2}) \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\langle T_{\tau}c_{\downarrow}^{+}(\{n\},\tau_{1})c_{\downarrow}(\{j\},\tau_{2})\rangle & -\langle T_{\tau}c_{\downarrow}^{+}(\{n\},\tau_{1})d_{\downarrow}(\{j\},\tau_{2})\rangle \\ -\langle T_{\tau}d_{\downarrow}^{+}(\{n\},\tau_{1})c_{\downarrow}(\{j\},\tau_{2})\rangle & -\langle T_{\tau}c_{\downarrow}^{+}(\{n\},\tau_{1})d_{\downarrow}(\{j\},\tau_{2})\rangle \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \\ \tilde{F}_{\{n\},\{j\}}(\tau_{1},\tau_{2}) &= \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{F}_{\{n\},\{j\}}^{\alpha}(\tau_{1},\tau_{2}) & \tilde{F}_{\{n\},\{j\}}^{\beta\beta}(\tau_{1},\tau_{2}) \\ \tilde{F}_{\{n\},\{j\}}^{\beta\alpha}(\tau_{1},\tau_{2}) & \tilde{F}_{\{n\},\{j\}}^{\beta\beta}(\tau_{1},\tau_{2}) \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\langle T_{\tau}c_{\uparrow}(\{n\},\tau_{1})c_{\downarrow}(\{j\},\tau_{2})\rangle & -\langle T_{\tau}c_{\uparrow}(\{n\},\tau_{1})d_{\downarrow}(\{j\},\tau_{2})\rangle \\ -\langle T_{\tau}d_{\downarrow}^{+}(\{n\},\tau_{1})c_{\downarrow}(\{j\},\tau_{2})\rangle & -\langle T_{\tau}c_{\uparrow}(\{n\},\tau_{1})d_{\downarrow}(\{j\},\tau_{2})\rangle \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

Gorkov equations

$$\begin{cases} (i\omega - \varepsilon_n^{(\alpha)} + \mu)G_{\{n\},\{j\}}^{(\alpha\alpha),\omega} - \sum_{\{l\}} t_{\{n\},\{l\}}^{(\alpha)}G_{\{l\},\{j\}}^{(\alpha\alpha),\omega} - \sum_{\{l\}} t_{\{n\},\{l\}}^{(\alpha\beta)}G_{\{l\},\{j\}}^{(\alpha\beta),\omega} + \sum_{\{l\}} \Delta_{\{n\},\{l\}} F_{\{l\},\{j\}}^{(\alpha\alpha),\omega} = \delta_{\{n\},\{j\}}, \\ (i\omega - \varepsilon_n^{(\beta)} + \mu)G_{\{n\},\{j\}}^{(\alpha\beta),\omega} - \sum_{\{l\}} t_{\{n\},\{l\}}^{(\beta)}G_{\{l\},\{j\}}^{(\alpha\beta),\omega} - \sum_{\{l\}} t_{\{n\},\{l\}}^{(\beta\alpha),\omega} - \sum_{\{l\}} t_{\{n\},\{l\}}^{(\beta\alpha),\omega} + \sum_{\{l\}} \Delta_{\{n\},\{l\}} F_{\{l\},\{j\}}^{(\alpha\beta),\omega} = 0, \\ (i\omega + \varepsilon_n^{(\alpha)} - \mu)F_{\{n\},\{j\}}^{(\alpha\alpha),\omega} + \sum_{\{l\}} t_{\{n\},\{l\}}^{(\alpha)}F_{\{l\},\{j\}}^{(\alpha\alpha),\omega} + \sum_{\{l\}} t_{\{n\},\{l\}}^{(\alpha\beta),\omega} + \sum_{\{l\}} \Delta_{\{n\},\{l\}}^{*} G_{\{l\},\{j\}}^{(\alpha\beta),\omega} = 0. \end{cases}$$



boundary conditions in the quasiclassical approximation $(\Delta_0, \Delta_p << \mu_I, \mu_p, \mu_s, t, t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4)$

$$\begin{cases} tG_{1,j}^{S} = \gamma G_{1,j}^{I}, \\ tF_{1,j}^{S_{L}} = \gamma F_{1,j}^{I}, \\ \gamma G_{0,j}^{S} = t'G_{0,j}^{I}, \\ \gamma F_{0,j}^{S} = t'F_{0,j}^{I} \end{cases} \begin{cases} t_{1}G_{N,j}^{(\alpha\alpha)} + 2t_{3}\cos k_{y}G_{N,j}^{(\alpha\alpha)} + 2it_{4}\sin k_{y}G_{N,j}^{(\alpha\beta)} = \gamma_{1}G_{N,j}^{I}, \\ t_{1}F_{N,j}^{(\alpha\alpha)} + 2t_{3}\cos k_{y}F_{N,j}^{(\alpha\alpha)} + 2it_{4}\sin k_{y}F_{N,j}^{(\alpha\beta)} = \gamma_{2}G_{N,j}^{I}, \\ t_{2}G_{N,j}^{(\alpha\beta)} + 2t_{3}\cos k_{y}G_{N,j}^{(\alpha\beta)} + 2it_{4}\sin k_{y}G_{N,j}^{(\alpha\alpha)} = \gamma_{2}G_{N,j}^{I}, \\ t_{2}F_{N,j}^{(\alpha\beta)} + 2t_{3}\cos k_{y}F_{N,j}^{(\alpha\beta)} + 2it_{4}\sin k_{y}F_{N,j}^{(\alpha\alpha)} = \gamma_{2}F_{N,j}^{I}, \\ \eta_{1}G_{N+1,j}^{(\alpha\alpha)} + \gamma_{2}G_{N+1,j}^{(\alpha\beta)} = tG_{N+1,j}^{I}, \\ \gamma_{1}F_{N+1,j}^{(\alpha\alpha)} + \gamma_{2}F_{N+1,j}^{(\alpha\beta)} = tF_{N+1,j}^{I}, \end{cases}$$

Josephson current in S/I/S_p structure.





Thus changing hopping parameters at the interface for zero length of an insulator for the case of the s_{\pm} model of the superconducting pairing one can obtain in the considered $S/I/S_p$ structure after averaging over all possible values of k_y 0-, π - or ϕ -contact.

 $S/I/S_p$ sctructure with the sufficiently long insulating region has the ground state either at 0 or π phase difference depending on the values of the hopping parameters at the interface and the size of the Fermi surface in s-wave superconductor.

Conclusion for Josephson current in S-I-Sp structure for tunneling in x-y plane

For s+- symmetry of the order parameter it is possible the existence 0, π , and φ contacts for junctions with atomically sharp boundaries, for long barriers it is possible only 0 and π contacts. For s++ symmetry it is possible only 0 contacts.

3. Break Sp-I-Sp junctions



Experiment of Pudalov's group: usual $2\Delta/n$ pecularities.





 $\begin{bmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} & S_{13} & S_{14} \\ S_{21} & S_{22} & S_{23} & S_{24} \\ S_{31} & S_{32} & S_{33} & S_{34} \\ S_{41} & S_{42} & S_{43} & S_{44} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} J_1 + a_{01}A_{01} \\ a_{11}D_{01} \\ a_{02}A_{02} \\ a_{12}D_{02} \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} B_{01} \\ C_{01} \\ B_{02} \end{bmatrix}$ = C_{02}

There are no exact continued fraction solutions for 4x4 scattering Matrixes!

 $\begin{bmatrix} A_{01} \\ D_{-11} \\ A_{02} \\ D_{-12} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S_{11}^* & S_{21}^* & S_{31}^* & S_{41}^* \\ S_{12}^* & S_{22}^* & S_{32}^* & S_{42}^* \\ S_{13}^* & S_{23}^* & S_{33}^* & S_{43}^* \\ S_{14}^* & S_{24}^* & S_{34}^* & S_{44}^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{01}B_{01} \\ a_{-11}C_{-11} \\ a_{02}B_{02} \\ a_{-12}C_{-12} \end{bmatrix}$

Results of calculations – not angle averaged CVC



S+- model

S++ model

Conclusion for break junctions part.

Comparison existing experimental results with our preliminary not angle averaged calculations confirm s++ model

Conclusions.

1. We have proposed consistent tight-binding model for the coherent charge transport in the structures, containing multiband superconductors with complex non-parabolic excitation spectrum and anisotropic order parameter.

2. In order to distinguish s++ and s+- models in pnictide one should use SIN contacts with zero misorientation angle and large Fermi surface of normal metal

3. For s+- symmetry of the order parameter it is possible the existence 0, π , and ϕ S-I-Sp Josephson contacts for junctions with atomically sharp boundaries, for long barriers it is possible only 0 and π contacts. For s++ symmetry it is possible only 0 contacts.

4. Comparison existing experimental results for Sp-Sp break junctions with our preliminary not angle averaged calculations confirm s++ model.

Work was supported by RFBR grant № 13-02-01085

Thank you for your attention